Chairman's Letter Spring 2016

The new year has just begun and we have to start dealing with problems in the automotive arena. I would like to fully endorse the letter by our member André Burri and present to you my views on the world-wide reigning nonsense of climate influenced by man. Although one could mention a large number of side effects in the automobile scene, I would like to limit my excursion into a few basic content figures (otherwise we would need a special edition of the Alpine Eagle...).

As you know, my background is astrophysics and in particular radiation in solar physics. In this field, we are used to analysing basic phenomena because we know that it makes no sense to speculate and lose ourselves in nonsense models or, we invariously end in nirvana, move to the stock exchange and even start speculating ... Therefore, let me present some numbers, just like last time in the letter to our Ministry of Traffic (ASTRA).

Fact No.1: CO_2 is responsible for climate change, in particular CO_2 emitted by humankind. Its heat re-radiation capacity is the essential part of the climate models (whether this approach makes sense at all, is debatable: we cannot even predict the weather for one week yet we claim to be able to calculate our climate). The value of this re-radiation of CO_2 confirms the warming of the Earth by so and so many degrees during a time lapse of x. Depending on the model and political system and fraud, (for which I can furnish proof) with manipulation of data and software in the respective models.

A scientist in radiation physics has conducted research (in 1998) as to the assumed reradiation value of CO_2 . He shows that the assumed value is completely wrong and more than slightly exaggerated, and warned his colleagues to refrain from using such incorrect values in their models. In 2012 he repeated the CO_2 measurements with a new apparatus, more modern, more precise and came to exactly the same conclusions: The published value for CO_2 re-radiation is off by a factor of 80! Releasing twice as much CO_2 into the atmosphere only results in 0.17% or just 0.015° of temperature increase and <u>not</u> in a doubling of such temperature. The claimed increase in all climate models worldwide is 4.3 W/m² by CO_2 but the real value is 0.054 W/m², or off by an enormous factor of 80.

Fact No. 2: Mankind releases 36 GT (giga tonnes^{*}) of CO₂ into the atmosphere per annum. Our atmosphere contains 800 GT or 0.039 volume-% (or: 390 ppm) of CO₂. Our bio- and hydrosphere contains about 40'000 GT and the lithosphere 60'000'000 GT. An added 36 GT p.a. is supposed to create such a horrendous climate increase effect (it really is nonsensical to see it this way—the 36 GT come from the lithosphere, i.e. are part of the system at all times). In school, or at least in university, we all had lessons in statistics. We were taught that a mere 4 - 5% of something in a large system (the earth is such a large system) is nothing but white noise and cannot have any effect on the system as a whole. Do calculate the percentage value of 36 in 800+40'000+60'000'000!

*1 giga tonne = 1'000'000'000 tonnes (metric)

Fact No. 3: Ice cores from all around the earth tell us about a periodic rise and fall of ocean heights of some 120 m during a time span of some 80'000 to 120'000 years for eons (refer to the attached graph). The fall of the ocean level is relatively slow and chaotic, meandering up and down on its way down. The rise is always very quick and dramatic, sometimes 30 m in 500 years. Can you imagine what this means in reality? The North Sea does not exist, the Persian Golf is dry land and the Mediterranean is about one quarter of today's size. What causes that? No idea. We can exclude periodic cosmic disasters. Changes in solar radiation (now I am up again) with a rhythm of 80'000 to 120'000 years? No. I can only repeat my trite comment to this: if the sun increases its radiation output by 0.6%, we all run around as roasted peanuts on earth.

Mankind does not influence anything there. Period!

Today we feel we must explain everything, we have to publish more or less intelligently, etc. In 2009 it was discovered that the climate swindle is the biggest one ever, exceeding the dying of the forests and CFC. The selection of temperature values (thousands of metering stations throughout the world) were manipulated, only 12 units with the highest temperature values were selected; this caused the "dramatic" temperature increase effect, or in statistics terminology, the hockey stick effect. A complete falsification of results. More of the same? The basic statistic formulas by the CRU (Climate Research Unit) in the UK were falsified in such a dumb way by annotating even their manipulation in the source code! Unfortunately for the CRU, there are more than two people in the world who can read the computer language Prolog (yours truly included); otherwise they could have cheated their way forever. It was a Swiss researcher who proved them wrong on the basic selection of their temperature data.

Did you know that we, the Swiss, paid more than CHF 250'000'000 in 2012 for climate certificates on the respective stock exchange? Do you know what a so-called "climate certificate" is? Somebody flies his airplane equipped with cameras and electronics in countries like Mali for the EU (as an example) hundreds and hundreds of miles up and down and this then constructs a so-called climate certificate (the guy flying makes good money). We buy them from such countries in order to compensate for our output of CO₂. It cannot get any more stupid than this. The trade with these certificates runs to over \$10 billion per annum! The one making a lot of money with this is Al Gore (more than \$100 million a year); he was one of the protagonists of this utter nonsense and we all blindly follow it!

As mentioned in the beginning, I could fill x number of pages with this nonsense and fraud in calculations of the so-called data on climate change. The topic is known as "climate gate" in the respective circles – and no one reacts to it! Seen in this light, the VW scandal on falsification of test data is menial with their shabby few billion $I \in I$. Its effect could even be called white noise in the climate arena (remember: 4 - 5%).

We would be much better off studying the old cuneiform tablets in our history of mankind by the Sumerians, Akkadians and Babylonians as to how one adapts to climate change in an intelligent manner (they achieved this by developing elaborate irrigation systems) in case it gets warmer and warmer. Why does it get warmer in our times? Apart from short term temporary effects, the earth's axis wobbles through 47° in 25'700 years in space (it has been doing this for more than three hundred years since the industrial revolution). Certain parts of the earth receive more solar radiation than others during the wobble. This is why we had the ice age while there were years with lush vegetation in Mesopotamia (today almost a desert) 5'000 years ago. People were hunting lions on elephants because of a lion plague. There is nothing there now except the IS.

Thus speakest the astrophysicist again.

Talking about cuneiform tablets: you will receive an invitation this year from me for an event to be able to understand these phenomena more directly (no, not in Mesopotamia, rather in Switzerland).

In this sense, I fully stand by André Burri's comments!

Happy Motoring in 2016!

Your Swiss Section Chairman Arthur G. Sutsch